

**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4343TC1-71634: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**1 - The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject matter of this course.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	83.33%								
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.83	0.39	5.00	379	4.55	0.70	5.00	379	4.55	0.70	5.00

**2 - The instructor effectively presented the content of this course.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	66.67%								
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
Neutral	(3)	2	16.67%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.50	0.80	5.00	382	4.41	0.86	5.00	382	4.41	0.86	5.00

**3 - The instructor acted in a professional manner and treated students with respect.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	75%								
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	8.33%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.50	1.17	5.00	381	4.53	0.80	5.00	381	4.53	0.80	5.00

**4 - The instructor was available during scheduled office hours.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	70%								
Agree	(4)	2	20%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	1	10%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
10/16 (62.5%)	4.78	0.44	5.00	378	4.42	0.88	5.00	378	4.42	0.88	5.00

**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4343TC1-71634: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**5 - The instructor provided feedback on my academic progress on a regular basis through grades on quizzes, exams, written reports, projects, presentations, ect.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	66.67%				4.42	4.37	4.37		
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
Neutral	(3)	1	8.33%								
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.42	1.00	5.00	382	4.37	0.93	5.00	382	4.37	0.93	5.00

**6 - The instructor fairly evaluated my work in this course.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	83.33%				4.75	4.42	4.42		
Agree	(4)	1	8.33%								
Neutral	(3)	1	8.33%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.75	0.62	5.00	379	4.42	0.87	5.00	379	4.42	0.87	5.00

**7 - The textbook required for the course was useful.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	41.67%				4.18	4.41	4.41		
Agree	(4)	3	25%								
Neutral	(3)	3	25%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	1	8.33%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.18	0.87	4.00	380	4.41	0.86	5.00	380	4.41	0.86	5.00

**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4343TC1-71634: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**8 - The instructional aids (e.g. audio, visual, web, handouts, ect.) were beneficial.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	90.91%								
Agree	(4)	1	9.09%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
11/16 (68.75%)	4.91	0.30	5.00	380	4.46	0.78	5.00	380	4.46	0.78	5.00

**9 - The instructor is fluent in English.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	83.33%								
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.83	0.39	5.00	376	4.75	0.49	5.00	376	4.75	0.49	5.00

**10 - The instructor's overall performance as a teacher was excellent.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	58.33%								
Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.42	0.90	5.00	381	4.42	0.89	5.00	381	4.42	0.89	5.00

**11 - What do you consider to be the strengths of this course?**

- I learned a lot in this class about CBOs. This course made me volunteer which is something I know I don't do enough of. I will more likely help out than I did before.
- I like that the course takes a real life community environment and shows you how it works and ways to make it better. It has been a very educational course and I'm sure I will use continue to use a lot of what I have learned in the future!
- n/a
- His communication line was always open
- The layout of and presentation of the materials, as well as the overall content.
- This was a relatively easy course to complete!
- The quizzes.
- Great teamwork and response time
- The coursework and assignments were very helpful. I learned so much more than I expected. The projects and activities were definitely the strengths of the course
- This course provided good information on the subject matter.

# Arkansas Tech University

## 2016-December

**Course:** 201670-PS4343TC1-71634: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

### 12 - What constructive suggestions do you have for improving this course?

- The only thing I would suggest about this course is to have a certain due date every week. Coursework was due at random times. I'm taking three other courses and I know when the work is due and can plan it out better. It was hard to plan and schedule when to start the work. As working adults and college students, in order for us to be successful we must be able to set a schedule every week and stay with that routine. The schedule for this course made it more difficult.
- Everything about this course has been really good, the only thing I would suggest improving might be the schedule, maybe just set up more a timeframe where assignments are due on the same days each week so it's a little more organized.
- To possibly knock out the volunteer hours for a project that already has an interview. Sometimes with work it is hard to get hours in along with a lot of other projects due in the course. Also too many projects going on at one time.
- To not use the calendar in BlackBoard for due dates. It was way too confusing and frustrating.
- None- keep up the good work
- I would suggest not doing the service learning hours. I understand why they are incorporated, but it is incredibly difficult to take 5 hours of any day(s), to go an volunteer somewhere when you're a PS student.
- This class was really great.
- No more group work.
- N/A
- Having one link to submit work. Having the group discussion page and the wiki page and the file exchange and assignment link was all very confusing. If there could only be one link or making that link known to be the only link to upload work would be most helpful
- There were a lot assignments which made it kind of harder to keep up. Most professors had due dates on Sunday or Monday. There were random due dates all though the week.

### 13 - Other comments?

- Really enjoyed this course a lot! I have learned so much and I'm really glad I took it. It's been great at helping me gain a better understanding of how a community works and about local grants that are available to help improve it. I look forward to using what I have learned! Great class!
- n/a
- It was a great course overall. I was not satisfied with the Study Group I was in.....their participation was minimal.
- Enjoyed the course
- N/A

### 14 - The instructor was well prepared for the course.

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means		
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	58.33%						4.42	4.45	4.45
Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>College</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
12/16 (75%)	4.42	0.90	5.00	378	4.45	0.83	5.00	378	4.45	0.83	5.00

### 15 - The instructor provided timely responses to my inquiries.

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means		
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	81.82%						4.82	4.40	4.40
Agree	(4)	2	18.18%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>College</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
11/16 (68.75%)	4.82	0.40	5.00	381	4.40	0.91	5.00	381	4.40	0.91	5.00

**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4343TC1-71634: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**16 - The instructor provided opportunities for faculty/student interaction.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	66.67%				4.67	4.41	4.41		
Agree	(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.67	0.49	5.00	376	4.41	0.82	5.00	376	4.41	0.82	5.00

**17 - The instructor provided opportunities for student collaboration/interaction.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	83.33%				4.83	4.53	4.53		
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75%)	4.83	0.39	5.00	382	4.53	0.66	5.00	382	4.53	0.66	5.00