

**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4243TC1-71633: PLANNING FOR ADULT LEARNERS

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**1 - The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject matter of this course.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	62.5%								
Agree	(4)	3	37.5%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
8/14 (57.14%)	4.63	0.52	5.00	379	4.55	0.70	5.00	379	4.55	0.70	5.00

**2 - The instructor effectively presented the content of this course.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	37.5%								
Agree	(4)	3	37.5%								
Neutral	(3)	2	25%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
8/14 (57.14%)	4.13	0.83	4.00	382	4.41	0.86	5.00	382	4.41	0.86	5.00

**3 - The instructor acted in a professional manner and treated students with respect.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	62.5%								
Agree	(4)	2	25%								
Neutral	(3)	1	12.5%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
8/14 (57.14%)	4.50	0.76	5.00	381	4.53	0.80	5.00	381	4.53	0.80	5.00

**4 - The instructor was available during scheduled office hours.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	62.5%								
Agree	(4)	2	25%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	1	12.5%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
8/14 (57.14%)	4.71	0.49	5.00	378	4.42	0.88	5.00	378	4.42	0.88	5.00

**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4243TC1-71633: PLANNING FOR ADULT LEARNERS

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**5 - The instructor provided feedback on my academic progress on a regular basis through grades on quizzes, exams, written reports, projects, presentations, ect.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means						
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	37.5%						<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Instructor</td> <td>College</td> <td>Department</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.13</td> <td>4.37</td> <td>4.37</td> </tr> </table>	Instructor	College	Department	4.13	4.37	4.37
Instructor	College	Department													
4.13	4.37	4.37													
Agree	(4)	4	50%												
Neutral	(3)	0	0%												
Disagree	(2)	1	12.5%												
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%												
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%												
				0	25	50	75	100							
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>College</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>				
8/14 (57.14%)	4.13	0.99	4.00	382	4.37	0.93	5.00	382	4.37	0.93	5.00				

**6 - The instructor fairly evaluated my work in this course.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means						
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	62.5%						<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Instructor</td> <td>College</td> <td>Department</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.63</td> <td>4.42</td> <td>4.42</td> </tr> </table>	Instructor	College	Department	4.63	4.42	4.42
Instructor	College	Department													
4.63	4.42	4.42													
Agree	(4)	3	37.5%												
Neutral	(3)	0	0%												
Disagree	(2)	0	0%												
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%												
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%												
				0	25	50	75	100							
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>College</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>				
8/14 (57.14%)	4.63	0.52	5.00	379	4.42	0.87	5.00	379	4.42	0.87	5.00				

**7 - The textbook required for the course was useful.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means						
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	50%						<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Instructor</td> <td>College</td> <td>Department</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.25</td> <td>4.41</td> <td>4.41</td> </tr> </table>	Instructor	College	Department	4.25	4.41	4.41
Instructor	College	Department													
4.25	4.41	4.41													
Agree	(4)	2	25%												
Neutral	(3)	2	25%												
Disagree	(2)	0	0%												
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%												
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%												
				0	25	50	75	100							
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>College</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>				
8/14 (57.14%)	4.25	0.89	4.50	380	4.41	0.86	5.00	380	4.41	0.86	5.00				

**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4243TC1-71633: PLANNING FOR ADULT LEARNERS

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**8 - The instructional aids (e.g. audio, visual, web, handouts, ect.) were beneficial.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	62.5%								
Agree	(4)	3	37.5%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
8/14 (57.14%)	4.63	0.52	5.00	380	4.46	0.78	5.00	380	4.46	0.78	5.00

**9 - The instructor is fluent in English.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	75%								
Agree	(4)	2	25%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
8/14 (57.14%)	4.75	0.46	5.00	376	4.75	0.49	5.00	376	4.75	0.49	5.00

**10 - The instructor's overall performance as a teacher was excellent.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	57.14%								
Agree	(4)	3	42.86%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
7/14 (50%)	4.57	0.53	5.00	381	4.42	0.89	5.00	381	4.42	0.89	5.00

**11 - What do you consider to be the strengths of this course?**

• The course is useful to help students learn the practical application of an educational plan. Overall, the course was enjoyable and relaxed, which I really liked. Dr. Prasuhn has a great personality which really resonated with me. I love a great sense of humor.

• n/a

• The subject matter was interesting.

• Very thorough. I felt like I learned a great deal throughout this course.

• This course gives you a large amount of information that is very useful for Adult Learners.

• Provided plenty of opportunities to interact with other students through discussions

**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4243TC1-71633: PLANNING FOR ADULT LEARNERS

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**12 - What constructive suggestions do you have for improving this course?**


- I would suggest that due dates be more clear and modules be set up to be completed on a weekly basis. It was sometimes hard to follow the content of the course.
- n/a
- Some suggestions I would have for this course would be to have more time allotted for the group project since we are working via the internet I felt we needed more time and instruction for the project. Also, there was a ton of course work packed into this class and it felt like a 8 week course load rather than the 16 week course load. It was overwhelming at times and now this project really has me struggling.
- I love the calendar feature in Blackboard. It was a life saver. However, I would like to see due dates on the headers of the modules if possible. Some other instructors have used this method and it really helps me keep up with due dates. This is just a preference. Overall this course was great!
- N/A
- Due dates for course work felt random. I would have an easier time keep up if due dates were more consistent from week to week. (i.e. first discussion posts due every Wednesday and responses due Fridays)
- Overload of assignments. Group projects were kind of rushed and difficult to understand.

**13 - Other comments?**

- Great course! It changed me! I guess that means I actually learned something. :-)
- Great instructor and very informative!!!


**14 - The instructor was well prepared for the course.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**



**15 - The instructor provided timely responses to my inquiries.**

**Frederick Prasuhn**



**Arkansas Tech University**  
**2016-December**

**Course:** 201670-PS4243TC1-71633: PLANNING FOR ADULT LEARNERS

**Instructor:** Frederick Prasuhn \*

**16 - The instructor provided opportunities for faculty/student interaction.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	62.5%				4.63				
Agree	(4)	3	37.5%				4.41				
Neutral	(3)	0	0%				4.41				
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
8/14 (57.14%)	4.63	0.52	5.00	376	4.41	0.82	5.00	376	4.41	0.82	5.00

**17 - The instructor provided opportunities for student collaboration/interaction.**

Frederick Prasuhn

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	62.5%				4.63				
Agree	(4)	3	37.5%				4.53				
Neutral	(3)	0	0%				4.53				
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
8/14 (57.14%)	4.63	0.52	5.00	382	4.53	0.66	5.00	382	4.53	0.66	5.00